## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

		i
	No. 17-6215	
COREY E. JOHNSON,		
Petitioner - Appellant	t,	
v.		
LORETTA K. KELLY, Warden, S	Sussex I State Prison,	
Respondent - Appelle	ee,	
and		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Α,	
Respondent.		
Appeal from the United States I Richmond. John A. Gibney, Jr., D		
Submitted: May 25, 2017		Decided: May 31, 2017
Before MOTZ, THACKER, and H	IARRIS, Circuit Judg	ges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	iam opinion.	
Corey E. Johnson, Appellant Pro		

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Corey E. Johnson seeks to appeal the district court's order denying his Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) motion for reconsideration of the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012); *Reid v. Angelone*, 369 F.3d 363, 369 (4th Cir. 2004). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Johnson has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**